

ON MATROID THEOREMS OF EDMONDS AND RADO

D. J. A. WELSH

Introduction

In this note I show how very general and powerful results about the union and intersection of matroids due to J. Edmonds [19] may be deduced from a matroid generalisation of Hall's theorem by R. Rado [13].

Throughout, S , T , will denote finite sets, $|X|$ will denote the cardinality of the set X and $\{x_i : i \in I\}$ denotes the set whose distinct elements are the elements x_i .

A *matroid* (S, \mathbf{M}) is a finite set S together with a family \mathbf{M} of subsets of S , called *independent* sets, which satisfies the following axioms

(1) $\emptyset \in \mathbf{M}$.

(2) If X is independent and $Y \subset X$, then Y is independent.

(3) If $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_{m+1}\}$ are members of \mathbf{M} then there exists an element y_i of $Y - X$ such that $\{x_1, \dots, x_m, y_i\} \in \mathbf{M}$.

It is easy to verify that these axioms are equivalent to many other sets of axioms given by Whitney [18] or Rado [14]. We often write \mathbf{M} for the matroid (S, \mathbf{M}) and call \mathbf{M} a matroid on S . The *rank* of a subset X of S is the cardinality of a maximal independent subset of X and is denoted by $r(X)$. The *rank of the matroid* is $r(S)$ and we often write this as $r(\mathbf{M})$. A *base* of (S, \mathbf{M}) is a maximal independent subset of S , and a well-known property of matroids is that if I is any independent set and B is any base, then there exists a subset Y of B such that $I \cup Y$ is also a base.

Associated with any matroid (S, \mathbf{M}) is a *dual matroid* (S, \mathbf{M}^*) which is defined to have as its bases all sets of the form $S - B$, where B is a base of \mathbf{M} . Clearly the dual \mathbf{M}^* is unique and it is not difficult to show that the rank functions r and r^* of a matroid and its dual are connected by

$$r^*(S - A) = |S| - |A| - r(S) + r(A) \quad (4)$$

for all subsets A of S .

We also point out that if $A \subset S$, then any matroid \mathbf{M} on S induces a matroid $\mathbf{M} \times A$ on A in the natural way. $\mathbf{M} \times A$ consists of those subsets of A which are members of \mathbf{M} . It is called the *reduction* of \mathbf{M} to A and clearly its rank function r_A is related to the rank function r of \mathbf{M} by

$$r_A(B) = r(B) \quad (5)$$

for all subsets B of A .

Now if $\mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2, \dots, \mathbf{M}_k$ are matroids on S let $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{M}_2 \vee \dots \vee \mathbf{M}_k$ denote the collection of subsets of S of the form $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_k$ where $X_i \in \mathbf{M}_i$, $(1 \leq i \leq k)$.

Received 23 October, 1968. Research supported in part by a grant from the Office of Naval Research.

Edmonds [19] has proved that $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \dots \vee \mathbf{M}_k$ is a matroid on S . Also if r_i denotes the rank function of \mathbf{M}_i , and R_k denotes the rank function of $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \dots \vee \mathbf{M}_k$ it is easy to see that for any subset A of S ,

$$R_k(S) \leq r_1(A) + r_2(A) + \dots + r_k(A) + |S - A|,$$

for let B be any base of $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \dots \vee \mathbf{M}_k$, then for any subset A of S

$$R_k(S) = |B| = |B \cap A| + |B \cap (S - A)| \leq r_1(A) + \dots + r_k(A) + |S - A|.$$

The union theorem of Edmonds is

THEOREM 1. *The rank of $(S, \mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{M}_2 \vee \dots \vee \mathbf{M}_k)$ is given by*

$$R_k(S) = \min_{A \subset S} [r_1(A) + \dots + r_k(A) + |S - A|]. \quad (6)$$

COROLLARY. *The rank function R_k of $(S, \mathbf{M}_1 \vee \dots \vee \mathbf{M}_k)$ is given by*

$$R_k(A) = \min_{B \subset A} [r_1(B) + \dots + r_k(B) + |A - B|], \quad (7)$$

for all subsets A of S .

To see how (7) follows from (6) it is sufficient to notice that for any subset A of S ,

$$(A, (\mathbf{M}_1 \times A) \vee (\mathbf{M}_2 \times A) \vee \dots \vee (\mathbf{M}_k \times A)) = (A, (\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{M}_2 \vee \dots \vee \mathbf{M}_k) \times A)$$

and since the rank of A in the matroid (S, \mathbf{M}) is just the rank of the matroid $(A, \mathbf{M} \times A)$, (7) follows.

Let S, T be finite sets and let \sim be an incidence relation between the elements of S and the elements of T . If $s \in S$ and $t \in T$ and $s \sim t$ then we say that s and t are *incident*. For each $s \in S$, $\tilde{s} = \{t \in T; s \sim t\}$. If $X \subset S$, then $\tilde{X} = \bigcup_{s \in X} \tilde{s}$.

For notational convenience we let

$$S = \{s(i); 1 \leq i \leq m\} \quad \text{and} \quad T = \{t(j); 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

A *matching* between S and T with respect to the incidence relation \sim is a pair of subsets (X, Y) where $X = \{s(i_1), \dots, s(i_k)\}$ and $Y = \{t(j_1), \dots, t(j_k)\}$ such that $i_p \neq i_q$ ($p \neq q$), and $j_p \neq j_q$ ($p \neq q$), and $s(\alpha) \neq s(\beta)$ ($\alpha \neq \beta$), $t(\alpha) \neq t(\beta)$ ($\alpha \neq \beta$) and such that for each λ , $1 \leq \lambda \leq k$, $s(i_\lambda) \sim t(j_\lambda)$. The common cardinality of X and Y is called the *cardinality of the matching* (X, Y) . The theory of matchings is a much discussed topic in graph theory, see for example Ore [11].

If now \mathbf{M} , and \mathbf{N} are matroids on S and T respectively we say that the pair (X, Y) is an *independent matching* between (S, \mathbf{M}) and (T, \mathbf{N}) with respect to the incidence relation \sim , if (X, Y) is a matching with respect to \sim and X is independent in (S, \mathbf{M}) and Y is independent in (T, \mathbf{N}) .

A "matching" form of Edmonds' intersection theorem as described by Brualdi [1] is

THEOREM 2. *If r_1, r_2 denote the rank functions of (S, \mathbf{M}) and (T, \mathbf{N}) respectively, then the maximum cardinality of an independent matching between (S, \mathbf{M}) and (T, \mathbf{N}) with respect to an incidence relation \sim is equal to*

$$\min_{A \subset S} [r_2(\tilde{A}) + r_1(S - A)].$$

Rado's Theorem. Let $\mathbf{A} = (A_i : 1 \leq i \leq n)$ be any collection of subsets of S . Let \mathbf{M} be a matroid on S with rank function r . Then if $J \subset (1, \dots, n)$ and $A(J)$ denotes $\bigcup (A_i : i \in J)$, we have the following result.

THEOREM 3. *The collection of subsets A has a transversal which is independent in \mathbf{M} if and only if for all $J \subset (1, \dots, n)$*

$$r(A(J)) \geq |J|.$$

A very simple proof of this is given in [17], and also of the following "defect" version due to H. Perfect [12].

THEOREM 3'. *If d is any non-negative integer $\leq n$, then there is a subcollection of \mathbf{A} consisting of all but d of the A_i which has a transversal which is independent in \mathbf{M} if and only if for all $J \subset (1, \dots, n)$*

$$r(A(J)) \geq |J| - (n - d).$$

Brualdi [1] shows how Theorem 3 is deduced from Theorem 2.

Deduction of Theorem 1 from Theorem 3. Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$. Let \mathbf{M}_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$) be matroids on S . For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let S_i be disjoint sets with

$$S_i = \{s_{1i}, s_{2i}, \dots, s_{ni}\}.$$

Let \mathbf{M}_i' be a matroid on S_i , isomorphic to \mathbf{M}_i under the obvious mapping. Then since the S_i are disjoint, $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{M}_i' : 1 \leq i \leq k)$ is a matroid on $S' = \bigcup (S_i : 1 \leq i \leq k)$, with rank function ρ given in terms of the rank functions r_i of \mathbf{M}_i by

$$\rho(X) = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i(X). \quad (8)$$

Let A_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) be subsets of S' defined by $A_j = \{s_{i1}, s_{i2}, \dots, s_{ik}\}$. Then if $Y \subset S'$, Y has rank $\geq u$ in $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{M}_i' : 1 \leq i \leq k)$ if and only if the collection of subsets $(A_j : s_j \in Y)$ have a transversal which has rank $\geq u$ in $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{M}_i' : 1 \leq i \leq k)$. By Theorem 3', necessary and sufficient conditions for this are that

$$\rho(A_{j_1} \cup \dots \cup A_{j_m}) \geq m - (|Y| - u) \quad (9)$$

for any (j_1, \dots, j_m) such that $(s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_m}) \subset Y$. But for all p , ($1 \leq p \leq k$),

$$r_p(A_{j_1} \cup A_{j_2} \cup \dots \cup A_{j_m}) = r_p(s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_m}).$$

Hence from (8), (9) reduces to the condition that for all $X \subset Y$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k r_i(X) \geq |X| - |Y| + u$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2 from Theorem 1. Let (S, \mathbf{M}) and (T, \mathbf{N}) be matroids and let \sim be an incidence relation between S and T . Construct the bipartite graph G having vertex sets $S \cup T$ with $S \cap T = \emptyset$, and in which the edges of G join a pair of vertices $s \in S$, $t \in T$, if and only if $s \sim t$. Let E be the edge set of this graph.

For notational reasons we denote a typical member of E by $e(i, j)$, and this will signify that e is the edge joining $s(i)$ of S to $t(j)$ of T .

We let \mathbf{M}' be the null set and those subsets

$$\{e(i_1, j_1), \dots, e(i_k, j_k)\}$$

of E for which

- (i) $s(i_1), s(i_2), \dots, s(i_k)$ are *distinct* members of S ,
- (ii) The set $\{s(i_1), \dots, s(i_k)\}$ is independent in (S, \mathbf{M}) .

LEMMA 1. \mathbf{M}' is a matroid on E .

Proof. If $A \in \mathbf{M}'$, then any subset of A is a member of \mathbf{M}' . Now let

$$W = \{e(i_1, j_1), \dots, e(i_p, j_p)\}$$

$$W' = \{e(i'_1, j'_1), \dots, e(i'_{p+1}, j'_{p+1})\}$$

be members of \mathbf{M}' . Since \mathbf{M} is a matroid on S , there exists

$$s(i'_k) \in \{s(i'_1), \dots, s(i'_{p+1})\}, \text{ such that } \{s(i_1), \dots, s(i_p), s(i'_k)\}$$

is an independent subset of \mathbf{M} of cardinality $p+1$. Hence $W \cup \{e(i'_k, j'_k)\}$ is a member of \mathbf{M}' of cardinality $p+1$, and thus \mathbf{M}' is a matroid on E .

We let \mathbf{N}' be the matroid induced on E by \mathbf{N} in the analogous way, and now we can state the obvious lemma

LEMMA 2. (S, \mathbf{M}) and (T, \mathbf{N}) have an independent matching of cardinality k with respect to \sim if and only if the matroids (E, \mathbf{M}') and (E, \mathbf{N}') have a common independent set of cardinality k .

We now use Theorem 1 and duality in essentially the same way as Edmonds to prove

THEOREM 4. Two matroids (S, \mathbf{M}_1) and (S_2, \mathbf{M}_2) with rank functions r_1 and r_2 have a common independent set of cardinality k if and only if for all subsets $A \subset S$,

$$r_1(A) + r_2(S - A) \geq k.$$

Proof. If a subset I is independent in \mathbf{M}_1 and \mathbf{M}_2 then $S - I$ contains a base of \mathbf{M}_2^* , and thus the rank of the matroid $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{M}_2^*$ is not less than $|I| + r_2^*(S)$.

Conversely if $r[\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{M}_2^*] \geq k + r_2^*(S)$, then since any base B^* of \mathbf{M}_2^* is independent in $\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{M}_2^*$, there must exist a subset I of $S - B^*$ which is independent in \mathbf{M}_1 and has cardinality not less than k .

Thus \mathbf{M}_1 and \mathbf{M}_2 have a common independent set of cardinality k if and only if

$$r(\mathbf{M}_1 \vee \mathbf{M}_2^*) \geq k + r_2^*(S).$$

Using Theorem 1 this implies that for any $A \subset S$,

$$r_1(A) + r_2^*(A) + |S - A| \geq k + r_2^*(S).$$

Using (4), this reduces to

$$r_1(A) + r_2(S - A) \geq k.$$

Now combining Lemma 2 and Theorem 4 we see that (S, \mathbf{M}) and (T, \mathbf{N}) have an independent matching of cardinality k with respect to \sim if and only if

$$r_1'(A) + r_2'(E - A) \geq k$$

for all subsets A of E , where r_1', r_2' are the rank functions of \mathbf{M}' and \mathbf{N}' respectively.

By the definition of \mathbf{M}' and \mathbf{N}' on E , this is clearly equivalent to

$$\min_{S_0 \subset S} [r_2(\tilde{S}_0) + r_1(S - S_0)] \geq k,$$

and thus Theorem 2 follows.

Conclusion

By using the theorems here together with suitably chosen matroids one gets easy proofs of many apparently unrelated combinatorial results. For example, taking $\mathbf{M}_i = \mathbf{M}$ for all i , we see that the necessary and sufficient conditions for a matroid \mathbf{M} to have k disjoint bases is that $V(\mathbf{M}_i : 1 \leq i \leq k)$ has a basis of cardinality $kr(S)$, which is so if and only if $\forall A \subset S$,

$$kr(A) + |S - A| \geq kr(S). \quad (10)$$

Similarly \mathbf{M} is such that S is the union of as few as k independent sets if and only if $\forall A \subset S$.

$$kr(A) \geq |A|. \quad (11)$$

These results were originally proved for matroids by Edmonds [2] and [3]. By applying (11) when \mathbf{M} is the natural matroid induced on a vector space by linear independence we get the theorem of Horn [6]. By applying (10) and (11) to the cycle matroid of a graph G we deduce the necessary and sufficient conditions (a) for a graph to have k edge disjoint spanning forests and (b) for a graph to be the union of k subforests, thus obtaining graph theorems of Tutte [15] and Nash-Williams [9], [10]. By choosing \mathbf{M}_i to be the matroid \mathbf{M} truncated at r_i , we get necessary and sufficient conditions for a matroid to have disjoint independent sets of g prescribed cardinalities r_i . Applying this to the special case when \mathbf{M} is a transversal matroid we thus get the result of P. J. Higgins [5] who gives conditions for a family \mathcal{Q} of sets to have k mutually disjoint partial transversals of prescribed sizes n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k . Many other covering and packing theorems of this nature proved by Edmonds and Fulkerson [4] follow by a similar argument.

Acknowledgment

I wish to acknowledge some very helpful correspondence with R. A. Brualdi and C. St. J. A. Nash-Williams.

References

1. R. A. Brualdi, "Admissible mappings between dependence structures" (to be published).
2. J. Edmonds, "Minimum partition of a matroid into independent subsets", *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards Sect. B69* (1965), 67-72.
3. ———, "On Lehman's switching game and a theorem of Tutte and Nash-Williams", *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards Sect. B69* (1965), 73-77.
4. ——— and D. R. Fulkerson, "Transversals and matroid partition", *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards Sect. B69* (1965), 147-153.
5. P. J. Higgins, "Disjoint transversals of subsets", *Canad. J. Math.*, 11 (1959), 280-285.
6. A. Horn, "A characterisation of unions of linearly independent sets", *J. London Math. Soc.*, 30 (1955), 494-496.
7. L. Mirsky and H. Perfect, "Applications of the notion of independence to problems of combinatorial analysis", *J. Comb. Theory*, 2 (1967), 327-357.

8. C. St. J. A. Nash-Williams, "An application of matroids to graph theory", *Theory of graphs, International Symposium, Rome, July 1966*, Dunod (1967), 263–265.
9. ———, "Edge disjoint spanning trees of finite graphs", *J. London Math. Soc.*, 36 (1961), 445–450.
10. ———, "Decomposition of finite graphs into forests", *J. London Math. Soc.*, 39 (1964), 12.
11. O. Ore, "Graphs and matching theorems", *Duke Math. J.*, 22 (1955), 625–639.
12. H. Perfect, "Independence spaces and combinatorial problems", *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 19 (1969), 17–30.
13. R. Rado, "A theorem on independence relations", *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* 13 (1942), 83–89.
14. ———, "Abstract linear dependence", *Colloq. Math.*, 14 (1966), 257–264.
15. W. T. Tutte, "On the problem of decomposing a graph into n connected factors", *J. London Math. Soc.*, 36 (1961), 221–230.
16. D. J. A. Welsh, "Applications of a theorem of Rado", *Mathematika*, 15 (1968), 199–203.
17. ———, "Generalised versions of Hall's theorem", *J. Comb. Theory* (1970) (to appear).
18. H. Whitney, "On the abstract properties of linear dependence", *Amer. J. Math.*, 57 (1935), 509–533.
19. J. Edmonds "Submodular functions, matroids, and certain polyhedra" Lectures, Calgary International Symposium on Combinatorial Structures, June 1969.

Merton College,
Oxford,

and

University of Michigan.